

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>
	09/659,767	ISHII ET AL.
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>
	Jean B Corrielus	2637

**All Participants:**

(1) Jean B Corrielus. (3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(2) Joseph Ragusa. (4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 27 January 2005

**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Type of Interview:**

- Telephonic  
 Video Conference  
 Personal (Copy given to:  Applicant  Applicant's representative)

**Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:**  Yes  No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

6, 7, 15, 32-35, 43, 48, 55 and 58

Prior art documents discussed:

**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*Applicant's rep. agrees to amend the above claims, as indicated in the attached examiner's amendment. Such amendment is necessary to correct for some minor informalities so as to place the application in better form for allowance.*

**Part III.**

- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)